

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,112	10/21/2004	Noboru Tsuchimori	2007_0561	6413
7590 08/27/2007 Warren M. Cheek, Jr. WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
			HUYNH, CARLIC K	
2033 K Street, N.W., Suite 800 Washington, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	0 20 000		1617	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/511,112	TSUCHIMORI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Carlic K. Huynh	1617			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-10 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
• —	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Information	ct(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or group of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

I. Claims 1-4 and 9-10 are drawn to a method for the prevention or treatment of a graft-versus host disease and/or rejection reactions comprising administering a compound having a CCR antagonistic effect represented by formula (I):

$$R^{a4} - G^{a1} N \xrightarrow{Q^{a}} J^{a} - G^{a2} N - E^{a} - A^{a} R^{a2}$$

$$(CH_{2})_{na} R^{a2}$$

formula (II):

formula (III):

formula (IV):

$$A^{d} \xrightarrow{(CH_{2})_{rd}} N \xrightarrow{B^{d}} B^{d} \xrightarrow{(R^{d2})_{qd}}$$
, or

formula (eI):

$$R^{e\underline{1}} \hspace{-0.1cm} - \hspace{-0.1cm} X^{\underline{e1}} \hspace{-0.1cm} - \hspace{-0.1cm} W^{\underline{e}} \hspace{-0.1cm} - \hspace{-0.1cm} X^{\underline{e2}} \hspace{-0.1cm} - \hspace{-0.1cm} Z^{\underline{e1}} \hspace{-0.1cm} - \hspace{-0.1cm} Z^{\underline{e2}} \hspace{-0.1cm} - \hspace{-0.1cm} R^{\underline{e2}}$$

- II. Claims 5-6 are drawn to a method of preventing or treating a graft-versus host disease and/or rejection reactions comprising administration of a compound having a CCR antagonistic effect.
- III. Claims 7-8 are drawn to a method of manufacturing an agent for the prevention or treatment of a graft-versus host disease and/or rejection reactions.
- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features.

An international application should relate to only one invention or, if there is more than one invention, the inclusion of those inventions in one international application is only permitted if all inventions are so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1).

With respect to a group of inventions claimed in an international application, unity of invention exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

The expression "special technical features" is defined in PCT Rule 13.2 as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The determination is made on the contents of the claims as interpreted in light of the description and drawings (if any). Whether or not any particular technical feature makes a "contribution" over the prior art, and therefore constitutes a "special technical feature," should be considered with respect to novelty and inventive step.

The common technical feature in all groups is a compound having a CCR antagonist effect represented by formula I, II, III, IV, or eI. The element cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because the element is shown in the prior art.

In this case, Kurasawa et al. (EP 1219605 A1 as cited in the IDS) discloses a compound of formula (I):

having potent CCR5 antagonistic activity, which is the same compound having a CCR antagonistic effect as represented by formula II in the instant application (abstract).

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,112 Page 5

Art Unit: 1617

The species are as follows:

(1) a compound having a CCR antagonistic effect as represented by formula (I), (II),

(IV), or (eI); and

(2) a disease.

If either Group I, II, or III is elected, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species of (1) a compound having a CCR antagonistic effect as represented by formula (I), (II), (IV), or (eI); and (2) a disease to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

(1) Claims 1-10 are directed to a compound having a CCR antagonistic effect; and (2) Claims 1-

2 and 5-8 are directed to a disease.

The following claim(s) are generic: (1) 1-10; and (2) 1-2 and 5-8.

5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT

Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special

technical features for the following reasons: (1) Claims 1-10 are directed to a compound having a

CCR antagonistic effect, of which each compound having a CCR antagonistic effect is structurally distinct; and (2) Claims 1-2 and 5-8 are directed to a disease, of which each disease is operationally distinct.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/511,112 Page 7

Art Unit: 1617

A telephone call to the attorney is not required where: 1) the restriction requirement is complex, 2) the application is being prosecuted pro se, or 3) the examiner knows from past experience that a telephone election will not be made (MPEP § 812.01). Therefore, since this restriction requirement is considered complex, a call to the attorney for telephone election was not made.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlic K. Huynh whose telephone number is 571-272-5574. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

G. VEHENGJUN WANG FRIMARY ÉXAMENT Application/Control Number: 10/511,112 Page 8

Art Unit: 1617

ckh